

REPORT

To: Committee of the Whole Report Number: ENV-BRD-016

From: Paulo Eichelberger, GM of Environmental Services Date: September 28, 2020

Subject: Regional District Solid Waste Management Plan (Supplemental)

RECOMMENDATION: [Corporate Unweighted]

That the Committee of the Whole receive the report titled "Regional District Solid Waste Management Plan (Supplemental) – ENV-BRD-016" for information.

BACKGROUND/RATIONALE:

Further to the Solid Waste Management Plan (SWMP) review currently underway, the Regional Board requested that a Solid Waste Management Plan, prepared by another regional district using broad, non-specific strategies, be provided for information through the following resolution, passed at the September 10, 2020 Regional Board Meeting:

MOVED, SECONDED, and CARRIED

That the Regional Board approve the updated Regional Solid Waste Management Plan timeline, and authorize two Special Committee of the Whole meetings, on October 1 and October 16, 2020, to review the updated strategies for the Plan; further, that a Solid Waste Management Plan, prepared by another regional district using broad, non-specific strategies be provided for information.

Two examples of other SWMP's are attached for reference: Regional District of Fraser Fort George (RDFFG) and Cowichan Valley Regional District (CVRD). Some key takeaways from the attached plans:

- Both plans utilize a similar amount of strategies (RDFFG 8 broad strategies (supported by 18 sub-strategies) and CVRD 13 broad strategies (supported by 27 sub-strategies).
- For comparison, the PRRD initial draft Plan includes 26 strategies overall, supported by 45 substrategies. These have been regrouped into 17 broad strategies, which are actioned based on consideration of any of 54 sub-strategies, as deemed feasible.
- Throughout the sample plans, usage of common non-specific terminology to achieve strategic goals are consistent. Examples include "feasible", "enhance", "best practices", "collaborate", "consider", "promote", "lobby" and "assess".

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS:

1. That the Regional Board provide further direction.

STRATEGIC PLAN RELEVANCE:

□ Responsive Service Delivery

☑ Review and Amend Solid Waste Management Plan

Staff Initials: GL Dept. Head: Paulo Eichelberger CAO: Shawn Dahlen Page 1 of 2

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATION(S):

None.

COMMUNICATIONS CONSIDERATION(S):

None.

OTHER CONSIDERATION(S):

None.

Attachments:

- 1. RDFFG Solid Waste Management Plan
- 2. CVRD Solid Waste Management Plan